CO-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AS SOCIETAL BRIDGE: ENHANCING HUMAN DEVELOPMENT IN SECONDARY EDUCATION IN NIGERIA

By

Aliyu, Kehinde Hassan

kehinde.aliyu@kwasu.edu.ng

+2347037916781

Department of Arts and Science Education, Faculty of Education, Kwara State University, Malete

Abstract

Secondary education in Nigeria serves as a critical transition point, yet the traditional focus on formal curricula often neglects the holistic development of the learner. This work explores the strategic role of co-curricular activities (CCAs) including sports, academic clubs, leadership programs, and cultural associations as indispensable pedagogical tools for human development. Grounded in Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development and Erikson's Psychosocial Theory, the study conceptualizes CCAs as a structural bridge between theoretical classroom instruction and the practical demands of society.

The analysis reveals that while CCAs foster essential life skills such as leadership, critical thinking, and civic responsibility, their implementation in Nigerian schools is severely hindered by systemic challenges. These include chronic underfunding, an overreliance on high-stakes examination performance, inadequate infrastructure, and socio-cultural barriers. Furthermore, regional disparities and teacher overloads contribute to the marginalization of these activities.

The work argues for a paradigm shift in educational policy, recommending that CCAs be formalized as credit-earning modules within the National Policy on Education. It further advocates for public-private partnerships to address infrastructure deficits and the establishment of dedicated school committees to oversee CCA integration. Ultimately, the study concludes that repositioning co-curricular activities from the periphery to the core of the secondary school experience is vital for achieving national development goals and producing socially responsible, competent citizens.

Keywords: **Co-curricular activities, Secondary Education, and Human Development**,

Introduction

Secondary education occupies a strategic position within Nigeria's educational system, serving as a transition point between foundational learning and specialized or tertiary education. Beyond academic instruction, it plays a fundamental role in shaping learners' social, moral, and civic identities. While formal curricula dominate educational discourse and assessment practices, co-curricular activities remain an underutilized yet indispensable component of holistic education. Co-curricular activities including sports, clubs, cultural associations, leadership programmes, and community service provide experiential learning opportunities that extend beyond classroom boundaries. They foster critical life skills such as collaboration, leadership, creativity, and self-regulation, which are essential for meaningful participation in society.

This chapter argues that co-curricular activities function as a critical societal bridge by linking formal schooling with human development outcomes and national aspirations. Despite their significance, their implementation in Nigerian secondary schools is constrained by systemic, institutional, and socio-cultural challenges. This chapter therefore, examines their conceptual foundations, theoretical underpinnings, contextual realities, and policy implications within the Nigerian education system.

Conceptualizing Co-Curricular Activities

The shift from 'extra-' to 'co-' curricular reflects a paradigm shift from viewing these activities as peripheral to seeing them as essential pedagogical tools. Related terms include *extra-curricular*, *para-curricular*, and *non-curricular*, although these differ slightly in emphasis. This chapter examines co-curricular activities as critical mechanisms for enhancing human development and strengthening the relationship between schooling and society in Nigerian secondary education. Drawing on human development theories, including capability and ecological perspectives, the chapter conceptualizes co-curricular engagement as a structured context for fostering cognitive, social, emotional, moral, and physical growth among adolescents. It analyzes the nature, types, and distinctive roles of co-curricular activities, situating them within Nigeria's educational policy framework and school practices.

Despite their recognized value, co-curricular programs in Nigeria face persistent challenges such as inadequate funding, limited infrastructure, insufficient personnel, and an overemphasis on examination performance. The chapter argues that these activities function as a vital societal

bridge, linking formal education with real-world competencies, civic values, and national development goals. It concludes with evidence-based recommendations for policy, practice, teacher education, and school leadership, emphasizing the need to reposition co-curricular activities as integral not periphery to holistic education and sustainable human development.

The literature consistently reveals that the integration of co-curricular activities in both public and private secondary schools is aimed at preparing students to meet societal demands by equipping them with relevant skills and competencies for real-life challenges. The responsibility for planning and coordinating these activities rests primarily with school management, which must provide leadership, mentorship, and institutional support (Primasatya & Imron, 2020). Teachers also play a pivotal role in facilitating effective implementation (Batool & Raiz, 2019), as co-curricular activities offer meaningful opportunities for students to translate knowledge into practice and demonstrate positive social behaviours. In this way, co-curricular activities serve as a vital bridge between schooling and society, reinforcing education's transformative role in human development.

Co-curricular activities in secondary schools can be broadly categorized into:

a. Academic-related: Debate, quiz, JET Club
b. Social and civic: Community service, leadership clubs
c. Physical and recreational: Sports, athletics
d. Cultural and creative: Drama, music, arts
e. Personal development: Press clubs, entrepreneurship clubs

This classification highlights their multidimensional contribution to learner development.

Co-curricular activities serve as sites of experiential learning and informal curriculum transmission**.** They enable students to internalize values such as discipline, teamwork, and responsibility while engaging in real-life problem-solving contexts. Importantly, they function as microcosms of society**,** exposing learners to leadership structures, social norms, and democratic participation (Jackson, 2019; Thornberg, 2016). This makes them indispensable for character formation and civic education.

Co-curricular Activities and Student Development in Secondary Education: A Theoretical Discourse

Human development in secondary education, particularly through co-curricular activities, can be effectively explained using Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development and Erikson's Psychosocial Development Theory. These theories jointly explain how adolescents' cognitive, social, emotional, and moral development is shaped through structured and unstructured school experiences. Piaget posits that secondary school learners are in the formal operational stage, where they develop abstract reasoning and logical thinking abilities (Piaget, 1972; Inhelder & Piaget, 1958). Within this stage, co-curricular activities such as debate clubs, science clubs, and entrepreneurial projects provide experiential platforms that enhance critical thinking, problem-solving, and decision-making skills by enabling students to apply theoretical knowledge to real-life situations (Woolfolk, 2019).

From Erikson's psychosocial perspective, adolescents are in the identity versus role confusion stage, where the central developmental task is the formation of a stable sense of identity (Erikson, 1968). Co-curricular activities such as sports, student leadership roles, drama groups, and clubs provide opportunities for role experimentation, social interaction, and self-expression. Through these experiences, students develop self-confidence, emotional stability, communication skills, and a sense of belonging within the school community (Santrock, 2021). In contrast, inadequate participation in such structured activities may result in weak identity formation and psychosocial maladjustment, including low self-esteem and social withdrawal (Slavin, 2020).

Furthermore, co-curricular activities contribute to moral, emotional, and physical development, thereby reinforcing holistic student growth. Moral development is strengthened as students encounter real-life situations that demand fairness, responsibility, and ethical decision-making, aligning with both cognitive maturation and identity formation processes (Piaget, 1972; Erikson, 1968). Physical activities, particularly sports, promote health, discipline, teamwork, and stress management, which indirectly support cognitive functioning and emotional stability. Debate clubs facilitate the Formal Operational Stage by requiring students to construct hypothetical arguments and evaluate abstract logic." Overall, co-curricular activities function as integrative developmental mechanisms that connect cognitive growth with psychosocial development,

thereby making secondary education a comprehensive environment for producing intellectually competent, socially responsible, morally grounded, and emotionally balanced individuals.

Co-curricular Activities in Nigerian Secondary Schools

Co-curricular activities are structured, organized experiences that complement the formal academic curriculum and are designed to foster holistic development in students. Unlike classroom-based instruction, these activities extend learning beyond textbooks and examinations, offering students opportunities to develop socially, emotionally, morally, and physically. In the Nigerian secondary school context, co-curricular activities encompass sports, drama, music, debate, clubs, societies, community service, and leadership training (Ogunyemi, 2015; Ajayi, 2019). The integration of co-curricular activities into secondary education is not merely an adjunct to academic learning but a critical strategy for promoting human development and societal values. Such activities provide a platform for students to internalize ethical principles, cultivate interpersonal skills, build resilience, and enhance creativity (Okeke & Obi, 2020). Furthermore, they contribute to the development of leadership capabilities, teamwork, and a sense of civic responsibility, aligning educational outcomes with the broader societal needs of Nigeria.

In the Nigerian context, co-curricular activities serve as a bridge between formal education and social realities. They are instrumental in preparing students to navigate complex societal challenges by instilling values such as discipline, cooperation, and empathy (Nwankwo, 2018). For instance, participation in sports not only develops physical fitness but also teaches fair play, perseverance, and collective responsibility. Similarly, involvement in debating societies sharpens critical thinking and public speaking skills, which are essential for civic engagement.

Challenges to Effective Implementation of Co-curricular Activities

The implementation of co-curricular activities in Nigerian secondary schools is not uniform; rather, it is deeply shaped by regional disparities, school ownership structures, infrastructural inequalities, and socio-cultural contexts**.** While the challenges identified earlier are widely applicable, their intensity, expression, and impact vary significantly across Nigeria's geopolitical zones and between public, private, urban, and rural schools. These variations underscore the need for contextual interpretation rather than a generalized understanding of implementation constraints.

a. Insufficient Funding Facilities, and Infrastructure: Inadequate funding and poor infrastructure constitute the most critical constraint across Nigerian secondary schools. This challenge is particularly severe in the North-East, North-West, and rural communities, where schools often lack functional sports facilities, laboratories, and equipment required for effective co-curricular engagement (Ehiametalor, 2011; Carbonaro & Maloney, 2019). In contrast, some urban private schools in Lagos, Abuja, and parts of the South-West are relatively better resourced, although disparities still exist. This challenge is foundational because it affects all other dimensions of implementation, including teacher motivation, student participation, and programme diversity. It is also closely linked to socioeconomic inequality and weak educational financing systems.

b. Overemphasis on Academic Achievement and Examination Culture:The dominance of high-stakes examinations such as WAEC and NECO reinforces the prioritisation of academic performance over co-curricular engagement. This is particularly evident in urban and elite schools where competition for university admission is intense (Katranci & Uygun, 2013). Teachers and administrators often extend instructional time through extra lessons, thereby reducing opportunities for structured co-curricular participate on.In northern conservative contexts, academic emphasis is further reinforced by cultural expectations that prioritise formal academic and religious instruction over extracurricular involvement.

c. Heavy Teacher Workload and Shortage of Qualified Personnel: Teacher overload is a nationwide issue but is more severe in public schools, particularly in rural and peri-urban areas where staffing levels are low. Teachers are often required to manage multiple subjects alongside supervision of co-curricular programmes (Daley & Leahy, 2003). In contrast, some private schools in urban centres employ specialized instructors, though this remains limited. This challenge is directly linked to inadequate staffing policies and weak institutional support systems, contributing to teacher burnout and reduced programme effectiveness.

d. Limited Participation and Socio-Cultural Constraints: Student participation is influenced by economic, cultural, and motivational factors. In northern Nigeria, conservative cultural and religious norms may restrict participation, especially among female students (Dincer, 2009). Across all regions, economic hardship also limits participation due to costs associated with uniforms, equipment, and transportation (Castano & Kelly, 2012; Kim & Lee, 2016; Kocayigit & Ekinci, 2016). Thus, participation is shaped by both cultural norms and socioeconomic realities.

e. Restricted Variety of Activities and Poor Programme Design: Many schools offer limited co-curricular options, often restricted to sports and debates. This is more common in rural and under-resourced public schools where infrastructure and expertise are limited. Conversely, urban private schools tend to offer more diverse activities, including ICT clubs and music groups, although these are sometimes poorly aligned with student interests (Yildirim & Simsek, 2013).

f. Defective Time Allocation and Curriculum Marginalisation: Co-curricular activities are often poorly integrated into school timetables, particularly in overcrowded public schools operating shift systems. This reflects institutional prioritisation of academic instruction over holistic development, thereby limiting effective implementation.

g. Neglect of Local Context and Cultural Misalignment: A major challenge is the adoption of externally designed co-curricular programmes that fail to reflect local realities. This results in low student engagement, particularly in rural and culturally conservative regions where activities may not align with community values or available resources.

h. Lack of Parental Support and Community Engagement: Parental influence significantly affects student participation. In urban middle-class families, awareness of co-curricular benefits is increasing, whereas in rural and low-income communities, academic achievement or economic contribution is often prioritised over extracurricular involvement (Dincer, 2009).

i. Insufficient Recognition and Weak Incentive Structures: Co-curricular achievements are rarely integrated into formal academic recognition systems, reducing student motivation. This issue is more pronounced in public schools where reward structures are weak or inconsistently applied.

j. Weak Policy Implementation and Lack of Clear Objectives: Although educational policies emphasise holistic development, many schools lack clearly defined objectives for co-curricular activities. This leads to weak monitoring and poor evaluation of outcomes (Onyeachu, 2008).

Interconnections Among the Challenges: These challenges are interrelated and mutually reinforcing rather than isolated. For instance, inadequate funding leads to poor infrastructure, which limits activity diversity and reduces teacher motivation (Ehiametalor, 2011). Similarly, heavy teacher workload is intensified by staff shortages and weak institutional support (Daley &

Leahy, 2003). The dominance of academic achievement reduces time allocation for co-curricular activities, reinforcing their marginalisation (Katranci & Uygun, 2013). Cultural conservatism and parental attitudes further compound low participation rates (Dincer, 2009). Collectively, these factors create a systemic cycle that constrains effective implementation across Nigerian secondary schools.

Co-curricular Activities: A Synthesis of School and Societal Integration

Co-curricular activities (CCAs) serve as a vital structural bridge, connecting formal educational environments with the broader complexities of the surrounding society. By facilitating the transfer of theoretical classroom knowledge into tangible social, civic, and economic contexts, these programs ensure that learning is not confined to textbooks. Through participation in diverse avenues such as competitive sports, debating societies, scouting, and cultural organizations, students cultivate a suite of competencies that extend far beyond standardized testing. This multidimensional engagement fosters a more holistic form of personal development, effectively aligning the objectives of the school system with the evolving needs and expectations of the global community (Ezeani, 2020; UNESCO, 2021).

These programmes establish a functional synergy between learners and their environment by prioritizing experiential and participatory methodologies. When students engage in environmental advocacy, entrepreneurship clubs, or local community service, they are directly confronted with the authentic challenges and responsibilities of citizenship. This exposure is critical for the development of civic consciousness, collaborative teamwork, and the ethical frameworks necessary for successful societal integration. (e.g., from a school Press Club to a career in national journalism or civic advocacy). As a result of this immersion, educational institutions are transformed; they move away from being isolated hubs of academic instruction and instead become dynamic engines of regional and community development (Okoro, 2019; Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2013).

The synthesis of these activities creates a reciprocal relationship where the school benefits from societal relevance and the community benefits from a more capable, engaged youth population. By breaking down the metaphorical walls of the classroom, CCAs allow students to practice leadership and conflict resolution in real-time settings. This practical application ensures that the "soft skills" often discussed in curriculum frameworks are actually lived and refined.

Consequently, the transition from student life to professional and social life becomes a seamless progression rather than a jarring shift, as learners have already begun to navigate the social nuances of their culture. Ultimately, the integration of co-curricular initiatives underscores the role of education as a foundational pillar of social cohesion. When schools actively encourage participation in community-based projects and inter-school collaborations, they reinforce the idea that academic success is inextricably linked to social contribution. This holistic approach prepares individuals who are not only technically proficient but also socially responsible and culturally aware. By maintaining this robust linkage, the educational system fulfills its primary mandate: producing.

The Strategic Integration of Co-curricular Activities in National Development and Policy

The integration of Co-Curricular Activities (CCAs) serves as a vital catalyst for achieving national development goals, particularly through the lens of human capital formation and social stability. By moving beyond the traditional classroom, CCAs foster essential "soft skills" such as leadership, creativity, innovation, and critical problem-solving that are indispensable for producing a competent, productive citizenry capable of navigating a globalized economy. In developing contexts like Nigeria, these activities transcend mere recreation; they serve as a strategic tool to mitigate youth restiveness by channeling energy into constructive outlets. Furthermore, CCAs promote entrepreneurial thinking and reinforce national unity, as students from diverse backgrounds interact through sports, arts, and clubs, thereby cultivating a deeper appreciation for cultural diversity (Akinbote, 2018; UNESCO, 2021).

However, for these developmental benefits to be fully realized, educational policy and practice must evolve to treat CCAs as more than just optional "extra-curriculars." The Federal Republic of Nigeria (2013) and researchers like Ezeani (2020) emphasize that effective implementation requires a shift toward formalizing CCAs as compulsory components of the holistic curriculum. This transition demands robust institutional support, including dedicated funding, modern infrastructure, and a structured time allocation within the school day to prevent these activities from being sidelined. Moreover, policy frameworks must prioritize equity; without targeted intervention, a widening gap persists between urban and rural schools, leaving rural students at a disadvantage. To ensure accountability, schools must adopt rigorous assessment and

monitoring frameworks that track the quality and impact of these programs, ensuring that the promise of holistic education is delivered uniformly across all demographic regions.

To effectively support student development, teacher education programmes must evolve beyond traditional academic instruction to prioritize the pedagogical and managerial competencies necessary for vibrant co-curricular environments. Educators require specialized training in activity-based instruction and mentorship to guide students through diverse experiences, ranging from sports to creative arts. By integrating leadership development and community partnership strategies into the teaching curriculum, training institutions can ensure that future teachers are prepared to bridge the gap between classroom theory and real-world application (Okoro, 2019).

Simultaneously, school leadership plays a pivotal role in creating a culture where non-academic pursuits are valued rather than sidelined. Administrators are encouraged to adopt transformational and inclusive leadership models that actively remove barriers to student participation (Akinbote, 2018). When principals champion co-curricular engagement, it signals to the entire school community that holistic development is a core institutional priority. This shift requires a move away from rigid, top-down management toward a more flexible approach that empowers both staff and students to innovate outside the standard timetable (Okoro & Hassan, 2019).

The long-term viability of these programs depends on strategic resource allocation and robust external collaboration. Effective school leaders must act as conduits between the school and the wider community, fostering partnerships with local organizations and stakeholders to secure funding, expertise, and facilities. As noted by Okoro (2019) and Akinbote (2018), ensuring the sustainability of such activities requires a commitment to providing the physical and financial resources necessary for growth. Without this administrative foresight, co-curricular initiatives risk becoming intermittent rather than a permanent, enriching fixture of the educational experience.

Conclusion

This chapter underscores co-curricular activities as essential elements of secondary education in Nigeria, promoting holistic learner development and strengthening the link between school and society. Grounded in capability and ecological frameworks, as well as Piaget's

cognitive and Erikson's psychosocial theories, they provide structured environments for developing intellectual, social, emotional, moral, and physical competencies. Through participation in clubs, sports, cultural events, civic projects, and entrepreneurial activities, students acquire practical skills that complement classroom learning. These experiences foster leadership, teamwork, discipline, civic responsibility, and identity formation. However, implementation remains uneven due to inadequate funding, poor facilities, heavy teacher workload, examination-oriented schooling, and limited parental and community support. Despite these constraints, co-curricular activities remain central to educational practice. They contribute to human capital development, youth empowerment, and social cohesion. Ultimately, they reinforce the relevance of education to national development goals in Nigeria.

Recommendation

1. The Federal Ministry of Education should officially embed CCAs within the National Policy on Education, transforming them into credit-earning modules. By establishing standardized assessment tools, specific learning objectives, and dedicated timetable hours, the ministry can eliminate the current marginalization of these activities and ensure they contribute to a truly comprehensive education.

2. To bridge the gap in school facilities, educational authorities and administrators must broaden their financial base. This can be achieved by: Cultivating public-private partnerships to modernize equipment, leveraging alumni networks for institutional development and encouraging community-led initiatives to support local school infrastructure.

3. Address parental concerns and social hurdles, schools should tailor their CCA offerings to align with local customs and economic environments. When programmes are perceived as relevant to a student's heritage and future employability, they are more likely to gain grassroots support.

4. Schools must transition from disorganized, spontaneous planning to a more rigorous administrative approach. It is recommended that every secondary school should inaugurates a CCA Oversight Committee. This body would be tasked with (Drafting annual performance targets, monitoring individual student involvement, measuring the overall impact of these programmes on student character and skill acquisition).

5. Digital Tracking using basic school management software to record CCA participation alongside grades to provide a holistic student profile.

References

Ajayi, I. A. (2019). *Enhancing holistic education through co-curricular activities in Nigerian secondary schools*. Education Press.

Akinbote, O. (2018). *Inclusive and transformational leadership in school administration*.

Andrews, J. (2019). Developing soft skills through co-curricular activities: A pedagogical perspective. *Journal of Education and Practice, 10*(15), 45–52.

Batool, T., & Raiz, J. (2019). Variations in parental participation in curricular and co-curricular activities of university students. *Global Social Sciences Review, 4*(4), 239–249.

Carbonaro, W., & Maloney, E. (2019). Extracurricular participation and student outcomes. *Educational Researcher, 48*(2), 85–97.

Castano, M., & Kelly, S. (2012). Student participation in school activities: Barriers and motivators. *International Journal of Educational Development, 32*(3), 402–410.

Chalageri, R. G., & Yarriswami, M. C. (2018). Implementation of co-curricular activities in secondary schools: The role of teachers. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and Technology, 5*(3), 22–24.

Daley, A. J., & Leahy, J. (2003). Self-perceptions and participation in physical activity. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(3), 586–597.

Daniyal, M., Shah, A., & Rafique, F. (2012). Extracurricular activities and student development: A case study. *Journal of Education and Practice, 24*(6), 21–31.

Dastyar, V. (2018). *Dictionary of education and assessment in translation and interpreting studies (TIS)*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

DiFiore, G. J. (2023). *The shape of physical education, health and wellness programs in high-need middle schools* (Doctoral dissertation). New York University. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.

Dincer, M. A. (2009). Parental attitudes and student involvement in extracurricular activities. *Educational Studies, 35*(4), 345–359.

Ehiametalor, E. T. (2011). School facilities and educational quality in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Administration and Planning, 11*(2), 45–59.

Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Federal Government Girls' College Calabar. (2016). Co-curricular activities in Federal Government Girls' College Calabar. http://www.fggccalabar.net/co-curricular-activities

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1999). *Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (with amendments 2011)*. Federal Government Press.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2014). *National policy on education* (6th ed.). NERDC Press.

Glatthorn, A. A., Boschee, F., Whitehead, B. M., & Boschee, B. F. (2019). *Curriculum leadership: Strategies for development and implementation* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Hill, H. (2015). The impact of school location on student outcomes. *Journal of Educational Research, 108*(4), 349–358.

Inhelder, B., & Piaget, J. (1958). *The growth of logical thinking from childhood to adolescence*. Basic Books.

Jackson, S. L. (2019). Teachers' use of co-curricular activities for students' social and academic development. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 9*(1), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jerp.2019.xx

Katranci, A., & Uygun, M. (2013). The relationship between academic success and extracurricular participation. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 93*, 232–236.

Kim, J., & Lee, H. (2016). Socioeconomic factors and student participation in extracurricular activities. *Journal of Youth Studies, 19*(5), 645–662.

Kocayigit, Z., & Ekinci, A. (2016). Barriers to student participation in school activities. *Educational Policy Analysis, 24*(3), 211–225.

Madoda, D., Chitondo, L., & Chanda, C. T. (2024). The effects of social media on second language learning: A case study. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21*(1), 2500–2512. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.0285

Mahoney, J. L., Larson, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Lord, H. (2018). *Organized activities as contexts of development: Extracurricular activities, after-school and community programmes*. Psychology Press.

Musakanya, C., & Chanda, C. T. (2024). Factors affecting the teaching of physical education in rural secondary schools. *International Journal of Novel Research in Education and Learning, 11*(3), 37–55. http://www.noveltyjournals.com

Nwankwo, C. E. (2018). Co-curricular activities and students' personal development in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Research, 10*(2), 45–59.

Ogunyemi, T. (2015). *The role of co-curricular activities in secondary school education*. University Press.

Okeke, B., & Obi, M. (2020). Developing life skills through co-curricular engagement in Nigerian schools. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 12*(1), 78–92.

Okoro, J. P. (2019). Remodeling the vocational and technical education in Nigerian secondary schools as panacea to youth unemployment and social stability. *University of Nigeria Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies, 14*(1). https://unijerps.org/index.php/unijerps/article/download/713/615

Okoro, J. P., & Hassan, I. (2019). *Assessing the role of educational administrators in fostering innovative pedagogical approaches.*

Onyeachu, C. (2008). *Curriculum implementation in Nigeria: Issues and challenges*. Precision Publishers.

Piaget, J. (1972). *The psychology of the child*. Basic Books.

Primasatya, S., & Imron, M. (2020). School leadership and the successful implementation of extracurricular programs. *Journal of Educational Administration*, *34*(2), 40–52.

Santrock, J. W. (2021). *Educational psychology* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Seow, P.-S., & Pan, G. (2014). A literature review of the impact of extra-curricular activities on students. *Journal of Education and Learning, 3*(4), 23–32. https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v3n4p23

Slavin, R. E. (2020). *Educational psychology: Theory and practice* (13th ed.). Pearson.

Thornberg, R. (2016). School bullying and peer group fitting. *Journal of Adolescence, 45*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/j.adolescence.2015.xx

UNESCO. (2015). *Rethinking education: Towards a global common good?* UNESCO Publishing.

Woolfolk, A. (2019). *Educational psychology* (14th ed.). Pearson.

Yildirim, N., & Simsek, H. (2013). Teacher competencies in extracurricular programme delivery. *International Journal of Instruction, 6*(2), 23–38.